
No.2556

AMARAVATI, MONDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2023

G.2674

NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

--X--

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

TRIBAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT - LTR - Revision petition filed by Sri Dharapureddy Chinnademudu, S/o. Appalanaidu & (86) others, R/o. Peda Gangavaram, Ananthagiri Mandal, Visakhapatnam District presently in Alluri Sitharamaraju District against the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, ITDA, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District in Appeal No's. 1/2014 to 87/2014, dated. 10.11.2016 in respect of land an extent of Ac.378.09 cts situated at Jeenabadu and Pedagangavaram of Ananthagiri Mandal, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District - Dismissed-Orders-Issued.

SOCIAL WELFARE (TW.LTR.I) DEPARTMENT

G.O.MS.No.69

Dated: 06.11.2023
Read the following:

1. Revision Petition filed under the provisions of A.P.S.A.L.T.R.,1959 by Sri Dharapureddy Chinnademudu, S/o. Appalanaidu & (86) others, R/o.Peda Gangavaram, Ananthagiri Mandal, Visakhapatnam District, dated:16.01.2016.
2. From the Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram, Alluri Sitharamaraju District, RC No.185/14/C3/ROFR/ dated: 26/09/2017.

@@@@@

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, Sri Dharapureddy Chinnademudu, S/o. Appalanaidu & (86) others, R/o. Peda Gangavaram, Ananthagiri Mandal, Visakhapatnam District presently in Alluri Sitharamaraju District, against the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District in Appeal No's. 1/2014 to 87/2014, dated. 10.11.2016 in respect of Ac.378.09 cts situated at Jeenabadu and Pedagangavaram of Ananthagiri Mandal, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District.

2. Brief history of the subject case:

- a) The LTR case No.2/2009 was filed U/s 3 (2)(a) of the APSALTR 1 of 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970 on the basis of the petition filed by Sri Vinayaka Girijana Seva Sangham represented by President Sri Kimidi Kedari, S/o.Simhachalam, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District alleging that the Respondent i.e., Sri Darapureddy China Demudu, S/o. Appalanaidu, & (86) others being non tribal
(P.T.O.)

unauthorized occupied the scheduled lands in the scheduled area in contravention of the provisions of section 3 (1) of the Regulation 1 of 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.

b) After due enquiry and following due procedure under the provisions of LTR and Rules, the Agency Divisional Officer and Special Deputy Collector (TW), Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District has concluded that the ryotwari patta allowed by the Settlement Officer, Anakapalli in the matter has no validity and liable for cancellation. The possession of scheduled property by the Respondent is void under the provisions of APSALTR 1959 as amended by the Regulation 1970 and ordered for the ejectment of the respondent from the scheduled land and directed the Tahsildar, Anantagiri to evict the non tribal Respondent and take possession of the lands so as to assign the land to the eligible land less tribals as per rules.

c) The Tahsildar, Anantagiri has already initiated action to cancel the Pattadar Pass Books issued in favour of non tribal Respondents and an entry was also recorded in IB register of Jeenabadu and Pedagangavaram as per the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of AP., dated.13.02.2009 in W.P.No.23401/2008.

- d) Aggrieved by the orders of Agency Divisional Officer and Special Deputy Collector (TW), Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District Sri Darapureddy China Demudu, S/o.Appalanaidu, filed appeal in AS No's.1/14 to 87/14 (Batch Cases) before the Additional Agent to Government cum Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District.

- e) After careful examination of the material available in the file, the Additional Agent to Government cum Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District has opined that the rights of the tribal people in schedule area must be protected and the lower court has passed orders keeping that view in mind and the Court of Additional Agent to Government cum Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District finds no merit to interfere with the orders of the lower court and hence the batch appeals are dismissed.

3. Aggrieved by the above orders, Sri Dharapureddy Chinnademudu, S/o. Appalanaidu & (86) others have filed Revision Petition before the Government and requested the Government to set-a-side the orders passed by the Appellate Authority which were passed in Appeal Nos.1/2014 to 87/2014, dated:10.11.2016 against the eviction order passed by the Agency Divisional Officer and Special Deputy Collector, Paderu in LTR No.2/2009 to 130/2009 as confirmed in appeal and pass

such other or further orders as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the cases.

4. The Project Officer, cum the Additional Agent to the Government, ITDA, Paderu has been requested to furnish the detailed Parawise remarks and connected records in the subject case so as to dispose of the Revision Petition at Government level. Accordingly, the Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu in his letter dated: 26.09.2017 has enclosed the copy of order issued by the Additional Agent to Government, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District passed in Appeal Nos.1/2014 to 87/2014, dated: 10.11.2016 and the remarks on the affidavit filed by the Petitioner.

5. Previously, the Government of A.P. issued G.O.Ms No. 46, dated: 15.02.2019, Social Welfare (TW.LTR) Dept., dismissing the Revision Petition filed by the Revision Petitioners duly upholding the orders passed by the Lower authorities. Aggrieved by the said order, the Revision Petitioners filed a WP. No. 5042/2019 wherein the Hon'ble High Court of AP passed an order dated: 19.06.2019 setting aside the impugned order of the Government and remanded back to the Government for consideration of the issue afresh on merits.

6. The said Revision Petition has been posted for hearing before the Hon'ble Revision Authority on several dates and finally 15.07.2023 duly informing the same to the petitioner and defendants. During the course of the hearing and on perusal of the connected records/relevant materials and Para-wise Remarks submitted by the Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu cum Additional Agent to the Government, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District and written arguments filed by both parties counsels, the Revision Authority has observed that:

(I) This Memorandum of Revision Petition is filed by Sri Dharapureddy Chinnademudu, S/o. Appalanaidu & (86) others, R/o. Peda Gangavaram, Ananthagiri Mandal, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District presently in Alluri Sitharamaraju District against the orders of the Additional Agent to Government/Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu, Visakhapatnam District with regard to lands admeasuring Ac.378.09 cts situated in Zeenabadu and Pedagangavaram Village of Ananthagiri (M), Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District, passed in Appeal No's. 1/2014 to 87/2014, dated. 10.11.2016 confirming the orders passed by the Agency Divisional Officer, and Special Deputy Collector, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District Paderu, in LTR No. 02/2009 to 130/2009 ordering eviction of non tribals and protecting the interest of tribals.

(II) The matter came for hearing finally before the Revision Authority on 15.07.2023. The parties and the Special Deputy Collector were present. He heard both the sides. The following order is made after perusal of the material documents available on the record.

(III) The case of the Revision Petitioners is that their ancestors acquired the subject land and they have been in continuous possession and enjoyment of the same and the Settlement Pattas were granted by the Settlement Officers, during the year 1978 and 1979 in their favour under 2 of Ryotwari Settlement Regulations and that the Settlement Officer rejected the claim of the tribals on the ground that Mokasdhar is not a hill tribe and also the mutta was cancelled and lands were notified under Estate Abolition Act as part of Madugula Zamin and their names were entered in the settlement fair Adangal and the Mandal Revenue Officer, Ananthagiri also issued pattadar passbooks for them.

(IV) Initially a case was initiated for an enquiry against the Revision Petitioners by the Agency Divisional Officer and Special Deputy Collector (tribal welfare) Paderu, under Section 3(2)(a) of A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation I of 1959, as amended by Regulation I of 1970, as per the orders passed by High Court in W.P. No. 23401 of 2008 on 13.02.2009 and after an elaborate enquiry passed an ejection orders on 06.02.2013 holding that Ryotwari pattas granted by the Settlement Officer, Anakapalli are not valid and liable for cancellation and Possession of the Scheduled property by the Revision Petitioners is void.

(V) The Additional Agent to Government, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District furnished parawise remarks. The contention of the Additional Agent to Government is that the Section 3 (1) (a) of AP Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70 prohibits transfer of lands in scheduled area to any person other than one belonging to ST or cooperative society composed solely of ST's and the said Regulations prevails over the provisions of Ryotwari Settlement Regulation 2 of 1970 and as per these Regulations no Ryotwari patta can be granted in violation of the provisions of Regulation 1 of 1970 such pattas would not bind the authorities under Regulation 1 of 1970. In the instance case, the alleged Ryotwari pattas were granted to the petitioners (non tribals) after 03.02.1970 (i.e.,) in the year 1978-79 and as such the land transfers made in favour of the non tribals petitioners (in the Revision petition) in the scheduled areas are also to be considered as void and liable for revocation.

(VI) The tribal respondents claimed that the appellants have not produced any proof of claim to the Schedule property except the order of the Settlement Officer to prove possession before 1917 or 1959. The finding

of the Appellate Authority is that the tribal people, due to their lack of accessibility and ignorance, have not approached the Appellate Authority and they have taken recourse under Regulation 1 of 1970 and the Settlement Officer has taken a narrow definition of a Hill tribe, Siragam Sanyasamma, the original muttadar being a person belonging to tribal community is shown as non tribe for having owned land.

(VII) After receiving the pattadar pass books from the Mandal Revenue Officer, Ananthagiri the non tribals have filed WP. No. 4635/2005 before the High Court AP, Hyderabad seeking direction to the official respondents for issue of title deeds under ROR Act, as all non tribal occupants have received pattadar pass books. Subsequently as per the orders of the authorities the Tahsildar, Ananthagiri Mandal took possession of the entire extent of land Acs. 378.09 from the concerned non tribals on 15.07.2011.

(VIII) In the first round of litigation before this Revision Authority, the Government of Andhra Pradesh issued in G.O.Ms No, 46, dated: 15.02.2019 Social Welfare (TW.LTR) Dept., dismissing the Revision Petition filed by the Revision Petitioners duly upholding the orders passed by the Lower authorities. Aggrieved by the said order, the Revision Petitioners filed a W.P. No. 5042/2019 wherein the Hon'ble High Court of AP passed an order dated: 19.06.2019 setting aside the impugned order of the Government and remanded back to the Government for consideration of the issue afresh on merits.

(IX) The background of case and rival submissions give rise to two points for consideration.

" Whether the Revision Petitioners have valid legal title over the lands in question situated in the Scheduled, Area under the provisions of AP Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70?"

" Whether the Settlement Patta granted under 2 of 70 Ryotwari Settlement Pass books/Title deeds under Record of Rights Act Regulations or Ryotwari 1971 as amended by 1989 in favor of non tribals/Revision Petitioners are in violation of the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations?"

(X) The Clause (b) of Section 3(1) which was substituted by Regulation 1 of 1970 raised a presumption that the property situated in the Agency Tracts in possession of a non-tribal shall be presumed to have been acquired by such a person or his predecessor-in-possession through a transfer made to him by a member of Schedule Tribe. The transfer of

lands situated in the scheduled area in favour of non tribals is prohibited under the Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70.

(XI) To claim a Ryotwari Settlement Patta by a nontribal over the lands situated in the Scheduled Areas, he/she has to comply two pre requisite conditions under Ryotwari Settlement Regulations 2 of 70. (i) Their continuous lawful possession not less than 8 years immediately before commencement of Regulation II/1970 and (ii) possession must be lawful under A.P. Schedule Areas Land Transfer Regulation or any other law for time being in force. Therefore both the conditions to be complied before granting of settlement pattas to non tribals by the Settlement Officer.

(XII) In this case the Revision Petitioners are relying on the Settlement Pattas granted in 1978-1979 which were granted subsequent to the commencement of AP scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70. The Revision Petitioners have not filed any other documentary evidence to show that their possession and enjoyment was registered prior to the commencement of L.T.R 1 of 70 and valid.

(XIII) Section 2 (g) of the Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70 the word "Transfer" means mortgage with or without possession, lease, gift, exchange or any other dealing with immovable property, not being a testamentary disposition and includes a charge on such property or a contract relating to such property in respect of such mortgage, lease, gift, sale exchange or other dealing.

(XIV) While dealing a case where nontribal is in possession of Government land situated in the scheduled area the Hon'ble High Court held that such possession must be said to be to be dealing with the such immovable property, which falls within the scope of "transfer" as defined under Section 2(g) of the Regulation. (Vuppuluri Veera Venkata Raju and others Vs. Special Deputy Tahsildar, Tribal Welfare, Gangavaram (V&M), erstwhile East Godavari District and others (2007(6) ALD 292).

(XV) The Additional Agent to Government strongly opposed granting settlement patta by the Settlement Officer in favor of nontribal claimants by considering a tribal Siragam Sanyasamma, a original proprietor of the Madugula Zamin, by giving a narrow definition to a term "Hill Tribe" which is against the law.

(XVI) Now there is need to discuss about this point. The Agency Tracts, Interest and Land Transfer Act 1917 (Act 1 of 1917) came to be passed with the object of limiting the rate of interest and to check the transfer of lands in the Agency Tracts in Ganjam, Visakhapatnam and Godavari Districts.

(XVII) Section 2(c) defines "Hill Tribes" as to mean any body or class of persons residents in the Agency Tracts (not being a landholder as defined in (the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates Land Act 1908 that may from time to time be notified as such for the purpose of the Act.

(XVIII) The Hill tribes were notified under the AP Agency Area Land Transfer Act 1917 for the purpose of the Act 1917 in 1918 through an Order No. 187, Home (Judicial) dated 22.01.1918. It is not the case of the Revision Petitioners that the land holder Siragam Sanyasamma is not a notified hill tribe under the said notification. The Appellate Authority gave a finding that the subject lands came in to the possession of the Revision Petitioners from said tribal Sanayasamma.

(XIX) Section 4 of the said Act is as under:

"4(1) Transfer of immovable property by a member of a hill tribe.

"Not withstanding any rule of law or enactment to the contrary, any transfer of immovable property situated within the Agency Tracts by a member of a hill tribe shall be absolutely void unless made in favour of another member of a hill tribe, or with the previous consent in writing of the Agent or of any other prescribed officer".

(XX) Therefore, by virtue of the provision contained in Section 4, transfers of immovable properties situate within the agency tracts made by a member of hill tribe in favour to any other person who is not a hill tribe were declared as void on and from 14.08.1917. In this case, the original land proprietor Siragam Sanyasamma, belongs to tribal through whom the land in question was transferred to the non tribal claimants. As such the exception mentioned in the definition for the Hill Tribe, (not being a landholder as defined in (the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates Land Act 1908 will not apply to the present facts of the case. The Revision Petitioners have not denied the fact that the land holder is not a tribal.

(XXI) Sub-section (2) of Section 4 sets out that if a transfer of property is made in contravention of sub-section (1), the Agent or any other prescribed ofcer may, on application by anyone interested, decree ejectment against any person in possession of the property claiming under the transfer and may restore it to the transferor or his heirs.

(XXII) If the claimants came in to possession of the land in violation of the AP Agency Area Land Transfer Act 1917 and subsequent Land Transfer in Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70, the Settlement Officer is not legally permitted to grant settlement, patta over such lands

in favour of non tribals in the scheduled area under Ryotwari Settlement Regulations 2 of 70.

(XXIII) However the authorities under the Land Transfer Regulations are not empowered to cancel the Settlement Patta granted under 2 of Ryotwari Settlement Regulations. However the designated authorities need not take in to consideration of such settlement patta which was granted in violation of Land Transfer Regulations as amended by 1 of 70 during adjudication of the case fled under the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70.

(XXIV) The scope of the enquiry of the designated authorities under the AP Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70 is to check the transfer of lands effected in favour of non tribals in the scheduled area in violation of the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70. The scope of the enquiry is wider than the enquiry by the authorities under the 2 of Ryotwari Settlement Regulations. Therefore the observations made by both the lower authorities that the Revision Petitioners/non-tribals here in have no legal and valid title over the lands are legally tenable and acceptable.

(XXV) The second question for the consideration is whether the Settlement Patta granted under 2 of 70 Ryotwari Settlement Regulations or Ryotwari Pass books/Title deeds under Record of Rights Act in favour of non tribals, in violation of the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations as amended 1 of 70 are valid?

(XXVI) The Hon'ble High Court of AP held that the Land Transfer Regulation as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970 prevails over the provisions of Regulation 2 of 1970 and no Ryotwari patta can be granted in violation of the provisions of regulation 1 of 1970 - Such a patta would not bind the Authorities under Regulation 1 of 1970. The Court further held in that case that "Order of Special Deputy Collector declaring the said transfer as void and directing eviction of the petitioner is valid. It is no liable to be questioned on the ground that a patta has been granted to the petitioner by the Director of Settlements under the provisions of Regulation 2 of 1970. (Gadde Nagabushanamma Vs. Government of Andhra Pradesh & others. 1999(5) ALD 430).

(XXVII) Therefore the orders passed by the designated authorities under the Land Transfer Regulations have jurisdiction to pass ejection orders against the Revision Petitioners who are in illegal possession of subject lands situated in the scheduled area.

(XXVIII) The Government of Andhra Pradesh also issued in G.O. Ms. No. 68, dated: 09.07.2002 directing the authorities to give priority to the Land Transfer Regulations over the Ryotwari Settlement Regulations since the LTR prevails over the Settlement Regulations in resolution of land disputes in the scheduled areas.

(XXIX) The contention of the Revision Petitioners is that they were granted Ryotwari pass books and title deeds issued by the Tahsildar, Anantagiri, as such they have valid legal title over the lands in question. It is relevant to cite a ruling of the AP High Court where in it was held that Transfer if found in contravention of provisions of Section 3(1)(a) of Land Transfer Regulation 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70, such transfer will not be saved by virtue of validation under provisions of ROR Act (A.P Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act 1971) (Pathipati Rangamma Vs. Agent to the Government at Khammam (District Collector), Khammam District and others. W.P 22643 of 2005. 2010 (4) ALD 769).

(XXX) Therefore the pattadar passbook and title deeds etc., filed by the non tribals are not valid documents to claim right over the lands if their possession is hit by the provisions of AP Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70.

(XXXI) The Supreme Court in "Samatha Vs State of Andhra Pradesh (AIR-SC- 1997-P 3297) the prohibition against transfer and the declaration of nullity enjoyed under Amended 1959 Regulation have been held to be an emanation of para 5(2) of the Fifth Schedule to the constitution itself, the determination of invalidity declared under the provisions of Amended 1959 Regulation will have to be held as overriding any contrary determination under any other Regulation.

(XXXII) Therefore the Revision Petitioners have failed to produce valid documentary evidence in support of their case. Hence their claim is disallowed.

(XXXIII) In exercise of the powers conferred under section 6 of the AP Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70 the Revision Petition filed by the Petitioner is hereby dismissed. Therefore the impugned order dated: 10-11-2016, passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District in Appeal Nos. 1/2014 to 87/2014 is hereby upheld. It is hereby further ordered to restore the petition schedule lands to the Government for onward assignment to eligible tribals. As a sequel, interim orders if any passed in the matter are hereby vacated. Revision Petition is disposed of accordingly.

7. Government after careful examination of the revision petition and the circumstances stated supra and in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 6 of A.P.S.A.L.T.R., 1959 as amended by Reg. 1/70, the orders passed by the Appellate Authority Project Ofcer, ITDA, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District in Appeal Nos. 1/2014 to 87/2014 are hereby upheld and the Revision petition filed by Sri Dharapureddy Chinnademudu, S/o. Appalanaidu & (86) others, R/o. Peda Gangavaram, Ananthagiri Mandal, alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District with regard to land Ac.378.09 cts. situated at Jeenabadu and Pedagangavaram of Ananthagiri Mandal, Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District is dismissed and Interim orders passed if any are hereby vacated in the matter.

8. The Collector & District Magistrate, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District, is requested to take necessary action in the matter accordingly and acknowledge the receipt of the case records, which are returned herewith to the Additional Agent to Government/Project Officer, ITDA, Paderu, Alluri Sitharamaraju District.

9. This order shall be published in apegazette.cgg.gov.in.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

KANTILAL DANDE
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT(TW)

To

The Agent to Government cum District Collector and Magistrate, Paderu,
Alluri Sitharamaraju District

The Additional Agent to Government cum Project Ofcer, ITDA, Paderu,
Alluri Sitharamaraju District

The Special Deputy Collector Collector (TW), Paderu,
Alluri Sitharamaraju District.

Sri M.V.Hanumantha Rao, Advocate-1-3-18/40/68/C/2, Near Play Ground
No.II, SBI Colony, Gandhinagar, Hyd.

Sri Ch. Ravi Kumar, Flat No. 103, Jeelani Meadows apts. 2-225/A/1,
D.D.Colony, Bash amberpet, Hyderabad – 13.

Sri Dharapureddy Chinna Demudu, S/o Appalanaidu.

Smt. Dharepureddy Yerukamma, W/o. Chinnaiah.

Sri Dharapureddy Chinna Apparao S/o. Chinnappalanaidu.

Smt. Gavireddy Manga, W/o. Appala Naidu.

Sri Bailapudi Apparao, S/o. Demudu.

Sri Singamapalli Demudu, S/o. Sannibabu.

Smt. Vantaku Sanyasamma, W/o. Ammathalli.

Sri Thagarampudi Chilukulu, S/o. Chellayya.
Sri Gavireddy Apparao, S/o. Appalanaidu
Sri Bailupudi Chinnadevudu S/o. Narasimhulu.
Sri Jakkana Pentayya, S/o. Narasimhulu.
Sri Bailapuri Eswararao, S/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Bailapudi Narayana, S/o. Pydithalli.
Sri Darapureddy Appala Naidu, S/o. Demudu.
Sri Gavireddy Sathibabu, S/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Gavireddy Yerrunaidu, S/o. Appanna.
Sri Gavireddy Akku Naidu, S/o. Yerrunaidu.
Smt. Lekkala Sanyasamma, W/o. Mutyalanaidu .
Sri Gavireddy Appalanaidu, S/o. Yerukunaidu.
Sri Gavireddy Demudu, S/o. Yerrinaidu.
Sri Gavireddy Yerrinaidu, S/o. Yerrinaidu.
Sri Gavireddy Yeruku Naidu, S/o. Yerrunaidu.
Sri Gedala Pothuraju, S/o. Appanna.
Sri Gedela Akkunaidu, S/o. Appanna.
Sri Gedela Yerru Naidu, S/o. Akkunaidu.
Sri Gedela Sreemamurthy, S/o. Akkunaidu.
Sri Kotana Ramana, S/o. Narsibabu.
Smt. Randhi Demmudamma, W/o. Musalinaidu.
Sri Gorupothu Simhachalam Naidu, S/o. Akkunaidu.
Smt. Kotana Mahalaxmi, W/o. Demudu.
Smt. Kotana Demudamma, W/o. Lakshamma.
Sri Kotana Ramu, S/o. Demudu.
Sri Kotana Akku Naidu, S/o. Kotayya.
Sri Kotana apparao, S/o. Appala naidu.
Smt. Kotana Kotamma, W/o. Laxmana.
Sri Kotana Yerri Naidu, S/o. Ramunaidu.
Sri Kotana Kotana Chellu Babu, S/o. Ramunaidu.
Sri Kotana Demudu, S/o. Kotayya.
Sri Kotana Kotayya, S/o. Narsibabu.
Sri Kotana Venkata Rao, S/o. Ramunaidu.
Sri Kotana Sanni babau, S/o. Simhachalam.
Smt. Vantaku Gangamma, W/o. Venkunaidu.
Smt. Ralapalli Demudamma, W/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Kotana Demudu, S/o. Nagamnaidu .
Sri Kotana Appala Naidu, S/o. Gangunaidu.
Sri Kotana Srinivasarao, S/o. Gangunaidu.
Sri Koyyana Demudamma, W/o. Rammurthy.
Smt. Penugari Ramayamma, W/o. Ramu.
Sri Kotana Nagam Naidu, S/o. Demudu.
Sri Kotana Atchi Babu, S/o. Ramunaidu.
Sri Kotana Bangarayya, S/o. Ramunaidu.

Sri Lekala Mutyalu, S/o. Sannibabi.
Sri Penuganti Narsi Babu, S/o. Pedademudu.
Sri Penuganti Srinu, S/o. Chinademudu.
Sri Penuganti Yerri Naidu, S/o. Yerrinaidu.
Sri Penuganti Satyam, S/o. Yerrinaidu.
Sri Singampalli Demudu, S/o. Sannibabu.
Smt Ventaku Sanyasamma, W/o. ammathalli.
Sri Thagarampudi Eswararao, S/o. Appalakonda.
Smt. Thota Kannamma, W/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Thota Demudu, S/o. Appanna.
Sri Varri Suribabu, S/o. Simhachalam.
Smt Varri Satyavathi, W/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Varri Sriram Murthy, S/o. Simhachalama.
Sri Penuganti Eswararao, S/o. Yerrinaidu.
Sri Penuganti Venkata Ramana, S/o. Mutyalanaidu.
Sri Penuganti Dallu Babu, S/o. Sursulu.
Sri Bailapudi Appala Naidu, S/o. Pydithalli.
Sri Bailapudi Chellayamma, W/o. Chinnodu.
Sri Kavilapalli Apparao, S/o. Simhachalam.
Sri Gavireddy Naribabu, S/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Darapureddy Yerrayamma, W/o. Lakshamana.
Sri Darapureddy Mallayya, S/o. Mallayya.
Sri Penuganti Sanyasi Naidu, W/o. Sursulu.
Sri Darapureddy Demudu, S/o. Sursulu.
Sri Darapureddy Suri Demudu, S/o. Sursulu.
Sri Darapureddy Sriram, S/o. Sursulu.
Sri Jami Demudamma, W/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Bailapudi Akku Naidu, S/o. Sannibabu.
Sri Kannuru Appanna, S/o. Appanna.
Sri Kanuru Mutyalu, S/o. Appanna.
Smt. Kollu Demulamma, W/o. Appalanaidu.
Sri Balipudi Sanni Babu, S/o. Yerukulu.
Sri Tagarampudi Kotipalli, S/o. Appanna.
Sri Thagarampudi Eswararao, S/o. Appalakonda.
Sri Kavilapalli apparao, S/o. Simhachalam .
Smt. Gavireddi Sanyasamma, W/o. Demudu.
All R/o Pedagangavaram Village, Ananthagiri Mandal,
Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District.
Vinayaka Girijana Sevasangham Rep. by President Kimidi Kedari,
S/o.Simhachalam, R/o Peda Gangavaram, Ananthagiri Mandal,
Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District.

Sri Jaladi Venkataswamy, S/o Gangannadora Vice President of Vinayaka
Girijana Sevasangham of Zeenabadu Village, Ananthagiri Mandal,
Alluri Sitharamaraju District erstwhile Visakhapatnam District.

:: 13 ::

Copy to:

- ✓ The P.S. to Hon'ble Minister (SWE & TWE)
- The P.S. to Principal Secretary (TW)
- SF/SC.

// FORWARDED : : BY ORDER//


SECTION OFFICER

